Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/713,130	TOMITA ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	

	David N. Werner	2621		
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress	
THE REPLY FILED 04 September 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.				
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following rapplication in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi al (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request	
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	date of the final rejection.			
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Acono event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (left)	ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	g date of the final rejection	n.	
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f		TINOT NEFET WAS TI	LLD WITHIN TWO	
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extrumer 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount of the hortened statutory period for reply origi	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as	
2. ☐ The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compl	iance with 37 CFR 41 37 must be	filed within two months	s of the date of	
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the		
AMENDMENTS	the stantage of the state of th	91 (b (d b -		
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be (a) They raise new issues that would require further cor (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	sideration and/or search (see NO		cause	
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or	**	ducing or simplifying tl	ne issues for	
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a converse NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	orresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.		
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment (PTOL-324).	
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):				
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 	owable if submitted in a separate, t	•	_	
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:		l be entered and an e	xplanation of	
Claim(s) rejected: <u>11,12,14-17,19-24,35,49-53,55-58,60-6</u>	<u>5 and 67-70</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:				
 AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and 				
was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	- Nation of Association (see the	ala (a. a.C.C.II) a a la la la C.	20 m = (le =	
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to or showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fail:	s to provide a	
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.	
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:	
12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) 13. ☑ Other: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .				
	/Andy S. Rao/ Primary Examiner, Art U	nit 2621		

Continuation of 13. Other: Applicant states that the Riek reference does not disclose the claimed encoding of I picture data and P or B picture data "such that motion vectors are 0 for each entire frame and a chronologically preceding picture is copied as the encoding picture". Applicant descreibes two options presented in Riek: encoding a video sequence until receipt of a next reference frame, or repeatedly sending a selected image until it is encoded as an I or P picture (column 9: lines 41-67). Although Riek discusses potential problems and limitations with these methods, it goes on to present solutions. In one solution, B pictures are encoded entirely with skipped macroblocks or macroblocks with zero motion vectors (column 10: lines 53-65). Such a picture, comprising skipped macroblocks, which are then copied from a chronologically preceding picture, and motion-compensated with zero motion vectors, is the claimed "P or B picture data" with 0 motion vectors for an entire frame (a skipped macroblock inherently has a zero motion vector), copied from a chronologically preceding picture. Accordingly, the amendments to the claims are insufficient to overcome the rejections under 35 U.S.C. 103.

Applicant additionally requests a reference in support of the statement made that a correlated 1:1 ratio of audio and video packets was known in the art at the time of the present invention, interpreted as the claimed time period of video data having the same time time period of audio data. Accordingly, Wilt, A.J., "The DV, DVCAM, & DVCPRO Formats" is added to the record. This document, dated 16 May 1999, discusses locked and unlocked audio as described in the statement of Official Notice, and why encoding video with locked audio was known to be considered beneficial.